28

Dated: September 28, 2015.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	
9	MOVE AND A FORM A SECOND AS A
10	MOUANG SAECHO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, No. C 15-00815 WHA
11	Plaintiff,
12	v.
13	LANDRYS INC., a Delaware Corporation, and McCORMICK & SCHMICK ORDER DENYING PRO
14	RESTAURANT CORP., HAC VICE APPLICATION OF ATTORNEY
15	Defendants. NICHOLAS A. MURRAY
16	
17	The <i>pro hac vice</i> application of Attorney Nicholas A. Murray (Dkt. No. 49) is DENIED
18	for failing to comply with Local Rule 11-3. The local rule requires that an applicant certify that
19	"he or she is an active member in good standing of the bar of a United States Court or of the
20	highest court of another State or the District of Columbia, specifying such bar" (emphasis
21	added). Filling out the <i>pro hac vice</i> form from the district court website such that it only
22	identifies the state of bar membership — such as "the bar of Colorado" — is inadequate under
23	the local rule because it fails to identify a specific court. While the application fee does not
24	need to be paid again, the application cannot be processed until a corrected form is submitted.
25	
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE